

RP 108 Wear Debris Collection and Analysis for Wind Turbine Gearboxes



PUBLISHED BY:

American Wind Energy Association
1501 M Street, NW, Suite 900
Washington, DC 20005
202.383.2500

COPYRIGHT

©2019 American Wind Energy Association. All rights reserved. You may download, reproduce and print this white paper and any portion hereof (the "Document") for internal use only (which use may include internal use by employees of your company), and, by downloading or accessing the Document, you agree: (i) that you shall not sell or otherwise engage in any distribution of this Document; (ii) that you shall not make any alterations, modifications, deletions or other changes to this Document without the express written consent of American Wind Energy Association ("AWEA"); and (iii) to indemnify and hold AWEA harmless for any loss or damage, including reasonable attorney's fees, that the AWEA may incur, directly or indirectly, as a result of your use of this Document.

AWEA assumes no liability for reliance on the contents of this document. AWEA is providing this document for reference only in furtherance of AWEA's nonprofit and tax-exempt mission. AWEA makes no representation or warranty about the information contained in this document, including, without limitation, the suitability of the information contained in this document for any purpose. It is offered only as general guidance and does not constitute legal, medical, or professional advice. This document is not intended to, nor does it, include information regarding



Methods of Wear Debris Collection

The discovery and analysis of wear debris is very subjective across the industry. The debris itself is rarely collected for analysis. Other industries have successfully extracted debris for laboratory analysis from small filters or employed purpose-built filters with removable test patches and used the data to enhance predictive maintenance. Several limited options may currently be available on wind turbines. However, purpose-built debris traps will provide a more consistent, cost-effective CBM solution.

Oil sample

The periodic oil sample is an unreliable source for collecting wear debris due to variation in settling time for large particles and the short duration of the sample collection.

Magnet sweep of filter housing or sump



Stalin—Moller filter patch

One operator has successfully added test patches to a commonly used o f ine filtration system filter. The patches collect a representative portion of the debris collected in the filter. They are easily removed and sent to a laboratory for analysis.

WDA Implementation for Gearbox CBM

A general guideline for implementing WDA by wind project owner and operators is provided in this section. Items listed under most of these steps are following a logical sequence for users of this RP to evaluate. Given the diverse nature of wind projects, it is not uncommon for WDA implementation to vary from one project to another.

Step 1: Gather information

- Identify and evaluate effectiveness of existing condition monitoring
 - o Supervisory control and data acquisition (e.g., chip detectors, filter bypass, and differential pressure)
 - o Filters (main or sidestream) and magnets
 - o Online wear debris monitoring
- Gearbox history by make and model
 - o Failure modes, age, wind regime, oil condition history (e.g., International Organization for Standardization particle count cleanliness, water, and metals)
- CBM budget

Step 2: Scope WDA program within budget, need, and entire oil condition monitoring program

Option 1 Additional analysis of debris suspended in periodic oil sample (\$50-\$150 semiannual analysis)

- o Ferrography, wear debris count, chemical elemental
- o Understand limits, especially eight micron particle size limit for chemical elemental analysis

Option 2 WDA, of fine (\$0-\$250 hardware, \$75-\$500 semiannual samples)

- o Review hardware options to trap particles over a periodic operating interval (e.g., 6 months)
- o Select laboratory, identify analysis methods, determine costs

Option 3 Online wear debris monitor (\$3,000-\$10,000 hardware plus monitoring costs)

- o Consider installing online wear debris monitor hardware on turbines that have been selected based on WDA warnings, versus installing on all turbines, which may reduce large capital expenditures
- o Support online data with hard evidence from more sophisticated WDA analysis

Step 3: Determine particle collection method



